

**MINUTES OF MEETING
REUNION EAST
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Reunion East Community Development District was held on Thursday, **December 12, 2024** at 1:00 p.m. via Zoom Communication Media Technology and at the Heritage Crossing Community Center, 7715 Heritage Crossing Way, Reunion, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Mark Greenstein	Chairman
Trudy Hobbs	Vice Chair
John Dryburgh	Assistant Secretary
June Wispelwey	Assistant Secretary
Diane Davis	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams	District Manager
Kristen Trucco	District Counsel
James Curley	District Engineer
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager
Victor Vargas	Reunion Security
Garrett Huegel	Yellowstone Landscape
Pete Whitman	Yellowstone Landscape
Residents	

The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the December 12, 2024 meeting. A copy of the proceedings can be obtained by contacting the District Manager.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. and called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 2 of 15**

Ms. Adams opened the public comment period. Mr. Thomas Jones of 7417 Devereaux Street questioned why the Board approved a paving project throughout Reunion, as the overall conditions of the roads before the work started were good. Ms. Adams reported that the District engaged an engineer to perform a Pavement Management Study for all segments of roadway that were owned by the Reunion East and Reunion West CDDs, based on a Pavement Condition Index (PCI). The worst sections of roadway were prioritized for the first interval of paving. The Board determined that rather than doing paving every other year or every three years, a five-year interval would provide cost savings in order to save on the mobilization costs associated with the milling and resurfacing. As a result, the engineer recommended a scope based on segments of roadway that were in the worst condition. Mr. Curley indicated as a result of the Pavement Management Study, they would be re-doing other sections of roadway in the worst condition at a later time. Mr. Greenstein noted that on the east side most of the residential roadways, Reunion Boulevard, Tradition Boulevard and some of Gathering Drive were not touched. There being no further comments, Ms. Adams closed the public comment period.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**Organizational Matters****A. Administration of Oaths of Office to Newly Elected Board Members**

Ms. Adams welcomed back Ms. Diane Davis and Mr. John Dryburgh, who started new terms effective November 19, 2024, which would expire in November of 2028.

Ms. Adams, a Notary of the State of Florida and duly authorized, administered the Oath of Office to Ms. Davis and Mr. Dryburgh.

B. Election of Officers**C. Consideration of Resolution 2025-04 Electing Officers**

Ms. Adams reported that after an appointment or an election, the Board was required to reorganize its officers. Resolution 2025-04 was included in the agenda package and currently Mr. Mark Greenstein served as Chairman, Ms. Trudy Hobbs served as Vice Chair, the remaining Board Members served as Assistant Secretaries, Mr. George Flint served as Secretary, Ms. Tricia Adams served as Assistant Secretary, Ms. Jill Burns served as Treasurer and Ms. Katie Costa and Mr. Darrin Mossing, Sr. served as Assistant Treasurers, for check signing purposes. Mr. Dryburgh was in favor of keeping the same officers.

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Ms. Wispelwey with all in favor retaining the same slate of officers as evidenced by the adoption of Resolution 2025-04 was approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the September 12, 2024 and November 14, 2024 Board of Supervisors Meetings

Ms. Adams presented the minutes of the September 12, 2024 meeting, which were included in the agenda package. They were approved at last month's meeting, but a correction was provided from Ms. Davis, regarding the discussion about the dumpster at Heritage Crossings. In addition, before the start of this meeting, Ms. Adams received a correction from the Chairman. Additional corrections were provided by Ms. Davis.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Ms. Hobbs with all in favor the Minutes of the September 12, 2024 Board of Supervisors Meeting were approved as amended.

Ms. Adams presented the minutes of the November 14, 2024 Board of Supervisors meeting, which were included in the agenda package and were reviewed by District Counsel and District management. There were no corrections.

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor the Minutes of the November 14, 2024 Board of Supervisors Meeting were approved as presented.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Proposal for Radar Feedback Signs with Universal Signs & Accessories

Mr. Scheerer recalled that several months ago, the Board requested documentation on speeding within the community but was informed that they had manually download the information directly from the radar display sign. As a result, he was asked by the Board, to obtain a proposal for cloud-based signs, which was included in the agenda package, from United Universal Sign Accessories, which they purchase all of their signs from. The cost of the 10 radar display signs was \$34,912.20 and the budget, \$67,000 was allocated for signs and radar display signs this year. The same proposal was presented to the Reunion West CDD Board and was

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 4 of 15**

approved earlier today. Ms. Adams pointed out that it was not a cost share arrangement and signs would be designated for each District. Mr. Scheerer indicated that six signs would be placed in Reunion East and four in Reunion West.

Mr. Dryburgh asked if there would be 10 new signs and 10 old signs. Mr. Scheerer noted that the data on some of the signs could not be downloaded. Mr. Dryburgh pointed out that the signs could be placed as a reminder for people to slow down. Ms. Wispelwey agreed. Mr. Scheerer would distribute the seven or eight that were functioning to the interior roads that had issues with speeding, such as Tradition Boulevard by the water park and Reunion Boulevard. Mr. Dryburgh requested that they be placed in both directions, as it was currently in one direction in some locations. Ms. Hobbs was in favor of reusing the old ones and liked the idea of the new ones, as the data would be more accessible and useful. Ms. Wispelwey requested that one be placed on Heritage Crossing Way, due to prior discussion about speeding in Heritage Crossing. Ms. Hobbs suggested placing the new ones in areas where they wanted access to the data more easily and include the old ones on the interior roads. Mr. Greenstein agreed, as they were reliable.

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the proposal with Universal Signs & Accessories for 10 radar display signs in the amount of \$34,912.20 was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Consideration of Data Sharing and Usage
Agreement with Osceola County Property
Appraiser**

Ms. Adams presented a Data Sharing and Usage Agreement between the District and the Osceola County Property Appraiser, which was included in the agenda package. It is an annual agreement, as Florida had broad public record laws, but some classifications of citizens were exempt from public records disclosure. It was an annual agreement that would be in effect from January 1, 2025 through December 31, 2025 and staff recommended approval.

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Ms. Davis with all in favor the Osceola County Property Appraiser Data Sharing and Usage Agreement was approved.
--

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Acknowledgement Regarding Traffic
Control Jurisdiction of Osceola County
Sheriff's Office**

Ms. Adams reported that District Counsel prepared the Acknowledgement and Consent of the District for the Osceola County Sheriff's Office (OCSO) regarding traffic enforcement powers. Ms. Trucco recalled the Board directing her, at the last meeting, to prepare a one-page letter to Osceola County Sheriff's Department (OCSD) counsel, acknowledging that OCSD had jurisdiction for traffic enforcement on District roadways. The District never disputed this, as the understanding was that Osceola County established the CDD and therefore, OCSD had jurisdiction over CDD roadways. This was a way to communicate to OCSD, as there was a question about jurisdiction and OCSD having access to CDD roads. A provision was also added acknowledging that OCSD had no duty to maintain, repair or restore the District's roadways, to limit any liability that OCSD could incur with respect to repairing the roadways as such was an expressed concern. Ms. Trucco was trying to confirm with OCSD if it was their position that they did not have to provide traffic enforcement without an agreement being in place and requested that confirmation be in writing, but at this time, there was no response on that point. She would follow up with an email stating that while waiting for their response, the Board approved this acknowledgement and planned to change the signage color. The Reunion West CDD approved it at their meeting and provided authorization to proceed with an email to OCSD to get this in writing, due to liability concerns.

Mr. Greenstein pointed out that the Board had been dealing with this matter for some time, administratively and this was considered to be the first step for the Board to state their policy. It was simply a statement for acknowledgement and consent of the Reunion East and Reunion West CDD, confirming that OCSD had jurisdiction over traffic enforcement for CDD roadways. This may cause OCSD to close out the issue or cause them to bring other matters to the CDD's attention, such as the color of the signs. In Reunion West, the Encore community had blue signs, which he assumed was an oversight, because it should have green signs, as they were CDD roads. It may have to be corrected, but this was a policy document, which the Reunion West CDD Board approved. Ms. Trucco pointed out that this agreement was simply confirming, in writing, what had always been the CDD's understanding with respect to jurisdiction. Mr. Greenstein recalled in 22 years, they never had anything in writing, as it was never an issue, until the last couple of years. Ms. Wispelwey questioned how this turned from a letter to something formal. Ms. Trucco

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 6 of 15**

explained that the consequence of an acknowledgement from the CDD and a letter from counsel directed by the CDD's Board were the same and offered to turn it into a letter if desired but felt that having an acknowledgement was cleaner and could be easily retained on the record. Mr. Greenstein preferred having one, to show the community that the Board was serious about traffic enforcement and to deal with it in a formal manner.

Ms. Wispelwey questioned whether this meant that they did not have authorization over CDD roads. Ms. Trucco advised that OCSD counsel wanted an agreement to be entered into with the CDD, because of the gates possibly providing an incorrect impression that the community had private roadways. Ms. Wispelwey voiced concern about the construction on Old Lake Wilson Road and routing traffic through Reunion. Ms. Trucco pointed out that the acknowledgement was not giving them permission not stated therein nor easement rights for which they needed a License Agreement or easement but rather acknowledging that they had jurisdiction over CDD roadways. However, if there was damage to CDD property, due to the routing of the traffic with the construction, the CDD could send a demand letter. One of issues alleged was personal liability to the officers if they did not have probable cause to be in the community and issuing tickets, which tied into the jurisdictional issue. Ms. Wispelwey pointed out that there would not be enforcement in the community, as OCSD had bigger issues. Mr. Dryburgh agreed, as OSCD used the excuse of not coming into the community on jurisdiction and was in favor of having the Board approving the acknowledgement or a letter, so OCSD complaints were no longer valid and they were permitted to come into the community and provide enforcement at least once a week.

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the Acknowledgement and Consent of the District for the Osceola County Sheriff's Department to provide traffic enforcement powers was approved.
--

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Staff Reports****A. Attorney**

Ms. Trucco reported that she was in communication with counsel for Rowstar, LLC./Vertical Bridge and they wanted another month to review the Option and Access Easement Agreement. She would provide an update to the Board at the January meeting.

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting**

**December 12, 2024
Page 7 of 15**

B. Engineer

Mr. Curley reported that the paving would be completed today. The speed tables and re-striping would be completed from December 17th to December 23rd and he was going to meet with All County Paving on Monday, December 16th, to review the speed table locations. He was in the process of preparing a report, indicating what went right and what went wrong with this project, the requirements for next time and what they wanted to see in the future, as this process would begin again in four years, to continue the repaving process. The report would be provided at the next meeting for Board discussion. Mr. Greenstein recalled discussion at the Reunion West CDD about the size and length of the speed tables in residential areas. Excitement Drive was a residential area, as there were homes, opposite Hole #9. Mr. Curley reported that after he drew the map, he went out into the field with Mr. Scheerer, to look at the area and make adjustments as needed. It was in that general location, away from driveways, fire hydrants and storm drains. Mr. Greenstein indicated that the concern at the Reunion West CDD meeting, was the alignment of the speed table near a driveway. Mr. Scheerer pointed out that the speed table by The Terraces by the hydrant, was the only one impeding a driveway. Ms. Wispelwey requested that work not be completed during the holidays. Mr. Curley felt that it was a good time to pave, as there was no rain and the plan was to install the speed tables before the holidays. Summer was not a good time, due to the rain.

Ms. Adams recalled that the original intention was to start road maintenance earlier, but Hurricane Milton pushed everything back. Mr. Dryburgh pointed out that they were a small project compared to others that All County Paving had on their schedule. Mr. Greenstein noted that they did not receive any other bids, because it was not continuous roadway and the CDD was fortunate to piggyback on the Osceola County School Board contract. The overall condition of their roadways was good, but some cleanup was necessary, such as the repair of some pavers, which All County Paving would do. Mr. Greenstein thanked Mr. Curley and Mr. Scheerer for their attention to this project. Ms. Wispelwey requested that security assist with the flagging of traffic, as there were some issues. Mr. Curley reported that this item was first on their list, so that they had a better plan in the future. Ms. Adams noted some issues with the Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) that Mr. Curley did address, as some MOT workers had to be removed from controlling the traffic and better procedures were implemented. Ms. Wispelwey appreciated that as some improper language was used.

C. Field Manager Updates**i. Consideration of Change Orders #2 and #3 with Guardian Access Solutions – ADDED**

Mr. Scheerer reported that the Davenport Creek/Spine Road Bridge project was underway and posts were installed. However, there needed to be a change to the operating software at all of the gates, from an Emerge system to an RS2 System, but it failed and at no additional cost, Access Control Systems (ACS) installed a PDK system. This type of system was also installed at the rest of the gates. Change Order #2 from Guardian Access Solutions (Guardian), which ACS was doing business as, was included in the agenda package. There's no dollar amount change, as it was an addendum to the scope of work, to change from the RS2 to a cloud-based PDK system, which would allow all of the gates to communicate with the current software packages that was in place. This was what Mr. Victor Vargas's team oversees when they were checking keycards and transponders. There was an additional Change Order, as the original proposal from the electrician, increased by \$5,750, due to the cost of materials and lack of movement over this project over the last year or two.

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor Change Orders #2 and #3 with Guardian Access Solutions as stated above for the access control system at the Davenport Creek Bridge were approved.
--

ii. Consideration of Cloud Service Subscription Agreement with Guardian Access Solutions - ADDED

Mr. Scheerer presented an agreement with Guardian for a cloud-based service subscription, in order for Mr. Vargas's team to access all gates remotely. The cost for two access points was \$24 per month.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Ms. Hobbs with all in favor Cloud Service Subscription Agreement with Guardian Access Solutions in the amount of \$24 per month was approved.

Mr. Scheerer was happy to report that the Fitness Center work was completed, but there was one issue with a treadmill that was delivered without the cables, which the vendor, Fitness

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 9 of 15**

Services of Florida took back. There were now five active treadmills, as well as an abductor adductor machine and leg press. When the new treadmill was ready to be delivered, they would coordinate with the contractor to have it installed. He would not approve any invoices until all of the equipment was installed. An email was received today from Ms. Sarah Anderson, in their Reunion IT Department, informing him that the internet was now active at all treadmills, but he would have to spend time next year to program the new functions that were available on the new treadmills. The installation took until 7:30 p.m. and they did a great job. The old treadmills were removed by the vendor and the District should receive a check next week. The janitorial company did a professional cleaning of all the windows, which took four hours and looked great. The flooring was installed and looked great. Mr. Scheerer kept as much of the equipment in the Fitness Center as he could and was looking for feedback from permanent residents that used the Fitness Center frequently. The feedback thus far, had been positive. He was waiting for feedback from Ms. Deb Kimball, who requested the leg press. It was working and was a great addition to the Seven Eagles facility.

Mr. Scheerer reported that the fountain improvements were complete and thanked the Board for allowing the renovation of the front fountain, as he received many compliments and people were coming by and starting to take pictures. There were also improvements at Linear Park, such as the installation of new annuals and mulch, as well as sod replacements that would be made by Yellowstone, in a couple of areas throughout Reunion. The pressure washing was delayed because of the pavement work, but starting on Monday of next week, the crews would be out pressure washing. All County Paving requested that the curbs not receive the 3% chlorine wash that they do for all of the concrete work, as they did not want any issues with the asphalt, while it was still new. Mr. Dryburgh questioned the curing time. Mr. Scheerer stated it would take 30 days, but they were going to start pressure washing some of the park areas first. All of the curbs, pavers and monuments in Linear Park, were already pressured washed, as there was supposed to be a wedding on Sunday. Once the asphalt was cured, they would proceed to complete the rest of the pressure washing. There were also some guardhouse lighting and gate repairs, in advance of the storm, which was not as bad as anticipated, so they cleaned the roof and roof drains at the Heritage Crossing Community Center (HCCC). Some pool heaters were adjusted from 87 to 88 degrees, due to the cool temperatures. They would continue to monitor before Christmas.

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 10 of 15**

Regarding the Action Items List, Mr. Scheerer reported that there were not many items listed, as the Fitness Center was completed. The contractor for installing the access system at the Reunion Village/Davenport Creek Bridge project, were pulling cables and hoped to have an update at next month's meeting. Mr. Dryburgh requested a proposal for changing the colors on signs in the community. Mr. Scheerer obtained a proposal, but the only area that was affected, was in Reunion West. The Reunion West CDD Board requested additional detail in the proposal and once Ms. Trucco verified with the county whether the CDD needed to make a changes to the signs, in order for OCSD to provide enforcement, he would obtain multiple proposals. Ms. Davis pointed out that the one of the signs at the private entrance on Excitement Drive, was in the wrong place, as people who inadvertently pulled in, did not see it. Mr. Scheerer confirmed that a new one was order, but they would reset the post for the Excitement Drive/Old Lake Wilson Road resident only gate. They were limited to where they could place the post, due to a lack of space, as there was a gas line easement running through there. He recalled that there used to be a sign on the card reader, stating that it was a resident only gate. Mr. Greenstein felt that Ms. Davis made a good point and was looking for a nice fresh good-looking sign, because the sign was practically coming down, as a result of the realignment of the lanes. There also needed to be additional lighting and suggested installing flood lights and a small warning sign, before the island.

Mr. Dryburgh requested removing the items that no longer worked on the keypad, because people were looking into what looked like a camera and spoke into what looked like a speaker. Mr. Vargas indicated if he noticed something, he was able to search back in the camera. Mr. Greenstein asked if there was signage on the west side gate reader at the resident gate, informing residents to swipe their card if they did not have a sticker. Mr. Dryburgh indicated that this gate was staffed. Mr. Greenstein requested that staff look at the equipment that was at this gate or provide better instructions, as there was a black plastic area, upon which a card would be placed against it. Mr. Vargas would look at it. Mr. Scheerer pointed out that one card reader activated hotel cards and the other activated resident cards. Mr. Greenstein noted that the green Reunion logo access card, that provided access to the Seven Eagles Gym and pool, opened the gate. Mr. Dryburgh understood that there was only one card, which was why this system was installed. Mr. Vargas explained that there was one reader for old cards. Mr. Greenstein suggested having a campaign to retrieve the old cards. Ms. Davis had to use her old card, because her new card did not open the gate. Mr. Greenstein recalled discussion at the last meeting about having to re-

authorize cards and felt that security needed to get a better handle on it. He further recommended that the Master Association include information about the renewal of access cards by January 1st in their annual letter and thanked Mr. Scheerer for making this the place that it is.

D. District Manager's Report

Ms. Adams recently received some photographs, documenting improper maintenance of the dumpsters. The District had an agreement with the Master Association, for the dumpsters at The Stables. She prepared a letter to the Master Association, that she would forward to District Counsel for review, before sending it out at the end of next week. It was a letter requesting maintenance and access control in accordance with the terms of the agreement and would include the photos documenting the improper conditions. She started preparing a study of fees to rent facilities similar to the HCCC, but it was not ready for the Board, at this time and would be included in the agenda package for the January 9th meeting. The most important fees were for room setup and cleanup fees, which needed to be added, due to the transition in the management of the facility. The other fee was for a security fee, to pay for a security attendant to monitor the facility, if a non-resident rented the facility. The fees were not under market for this type of facility but she would provide some comparisons. The public hearing was scheduled for the next meeting. Ms. Adams was currently working with District Counsel to merge the Special Event and Amenity Use Policies Fees, into one Special Events Policy with an application packet. Ms. Wispelwey previously discussed making Reunion residents aware that the HCCC could be rented for a reasonable fee, but Ms. Adams indicated that they were not ready to promote that, until the fee structure was in place. Ms. Wispelwey felt this made sense.

Mr. Dryburgh felt that security was a good option for monitoring the facility, but their resources were maxed and suggested looking at hiring residents that had a law enforcement background, in order to supplement their income. Ms. Adams had contact information for someone who worked with local law enforcement agencies and scheduled off-duty officers and the fee included in the notice, would either account for a law enforcement officer or security team member and would be working with Reunion Security to determine the feasibility and hourly fee and would provide the contact information for the off-duty officers. Mr. Dryburgh felt if they offered free coffee to a law enforcement officer, they would have a better relationship with them, versus adversarial. Ms. Davis liked this suggestion and questioned the mission of the CDD, what they

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 12 of 15**

needed to streamline and whether it was necessary to manage the HCCC. Ms. Adams pointed out that it was not unusual for CDDs to own and operate amenities or recreational facilities. The best use of the amenities is a beneficial discussion for the Board to have. However, the area that the Board expressed was a priority was The Stables. District Counsel was expecting a proposal from Bond Counsel for an analysis, to determine whether it was feasible to sell the amenity and the minimum purchase price to set. Ms. Trucco pointed out that the bond financing was an issue, as the CDD still had outstanding bonds, but if it was the desire of the Board they could look into some options. She reached out to Bond Counsel but had not received a response yet, as it was a busy time of year with end of the year bond closings likely. An analysis was estimated to cost \$10,000 to \$20,000, as it would take 10 to 20 hours for them to complete the analysis previously, and Ms. Trucco was waiting for them to confirm that they would perform the analysis at this price now.

Ms. Wispelwey was in favor of having The Stables used all the time. Mr. Greenstein noted that it could, once they determined how much they were going to sponsor community events in this facility. The Stables was underutilized, but it was built by the developer, with the idea that there would be horses. Heritage Crossings was used for yoga, but Kingwood stopped it. Ms. Adams recalled that someone who was interested in purchasing or leasing The Stables as a storage facility, if it was feasible. If the Board wanted to utilize The Stables as an amenity or recreational space, there were challenges, due to the limited parking. These were issues that the Board could tackle, once further information was provided. Mr. Greenstein hoped in this fiscal year, to analyze the financial impacts of The Stables, as it had potential for the CDD to generate revenue to offset their expenses and was in great condition.

i. Action Items List

This item was discussed.

ii. Approval of Check Register

Ms. Adams presented the Check Register from November 1, 2024 through November 30, 2024 in the amount of \$683,393.29, which was included in the agenda package, along with a detailed check run.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor the November Check Register was approved as presented.

iii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Ms. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements through October 31, 2024, which was for the first month of Fiscal Year 2025 and was for informational purposes. It included the Combined Balance Sheet, showing the unassigned balance in the General and Repair and Maintenance (R&M) Fund. No Board action was required.

iv. Replacement and Maintenance Plan

Ms. Adams presented the R&M Plan, which was for informational purposes. The spreadsheet was updated each month by her and Mr. Scheerer. The items in process or deferred, were at the top of the list, followed by the Fiscal Year 2025 project list. It included items to be presented to the Board in February, such as a new lighting system for the HCCC, Terrace pool renovation and resurfacing. The Board already approve the radar display signs. Anytime there were changes or direction from the Board, it was updated. Due to legal restrictions, the sidewalk project, was deferred and removed from the list.

v. Year End Review of CDD Accomplishments

Ms. Adams recalled during last month's meeting, there was discussion about communication with constituents and the limitations of the Board regarding social media posting, etc. Ms. Wispelwey suggested having a document sent by the Master Association, to note the CDD achievements during the last year and it made sense to tie this into a kind of end of year holiday greeting. A draft of this document was included in the agenda package and Ms. Adams received edits from Mr. Greenstein at the Reunion West CDD meeting. Mr. Dryburgh requested that the Fountain #1 refurbishment be included, as it was completed, at a significant expense. Ms. Adams pointed out that it was included under important infrastructure projects. The edit from Mr. Greenstein, was to include a new filtration and lighting system. Mr. Dryburgh requested that there be a more impactful list. Ms. Adams would include the fountain as a separate bullet point. Ms. Wispelwey requested questioned "*Entrance Gate Houses at Sinclair Road, Spine Road and Reunion Boulevard were replaced.*" Ms. Adams pointed out the word, "*Roofs*" was missing. Ms. Wispelwey received a question from a resident about why the hydraulic powered handicapped lifts

**Reunion East CDD
Regular Meeting****December 12, 2024
Page 14 of 15**

were not included at other pools. Ms. Adams indicated they planned to phase them in. Ms. Wispelwey requested that be added.

Mr. Greenstein requested where it says, *“Resident only access control system and gates are scheduled to be installed on Spine Road between Reunion Village and Tradition Boulevard,”* requested that it include the Davenport Creek Bridge. Ms. Wispelwey felt it was a great idea. Ms. Adams noted the other change was in the closing paragraph where it says, *“Board members and staff,”* adding a comma and stating, *“whose contact details are on the website,”* before *“stand ready to be of service,”* in order to get stakeholders to use the District website. Mr. Dryburgh suggested including, *“in trying to address sidewalk safety, we replaced a significant amount of sidewalk.”* Mr. Greenstein pointed out that this was more of a maintenance item and this needed to be more for accomplishments. Ms. Adams would include it in the safety section. Ms. Wispelwey recalled that pool furniture was replaced, which most residents did not know. Ms. Adams would include that pool furniture was replaced as added, listing the five CDD pools. Ms. Hobbs agreed, as many people would think that the Resort did that. Mr. Dryburgh suggested including a comment that the CDD has been able to manage its budget, so there were no assessment increases, due to a comment from Mr. Thomas Jones regarding why the roads were re-paved, as his concern was that assessments would need to be increased to pay for it. Ms. Adams pointed out that this was a list of accomplishments versus financial matters. Mr. Dryburgh felt that Reunion East CDD did a good job of keeping assessments down and if this question was asked again, it should be clear that the Board was doing it because it was a better solution, financially.

Mr. Greenstein was happy that Ms. Wispelwey suggested this letter, as it should have been done years ago and hoped that it would create some discussion from residents. Ms. Wispelwey liked that the letter started with the accomplishments with the playgrounds.

E. Security Report

Ms. Adams provided under separate cover, the November Security Report from Reunion Security and the Reunion West POA. No Board action was required and it was for informational purposes. Mr. Victor Vargas of Reunion Security was present to answer questions.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Other Business**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

There being no comments, the next item followed.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Next Meeting Date: January 9, 2025

Ms. Adams stated that the next meeting was scheduled for January 9, 2025 at 1:00 p.m. Ms. Wispelwey wished everyone a happy holiday and thanked staff for all of their hard work over the last year.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

Signed by:
Tricia Adams
3F3E5FA5C67E43B...
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

DocuSigned by:
[Signature]
6540F4ED0DA8409...
Chairman/Vice Chairman