

**MINUTES OF MEETING
REUNION EAST
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Reunion East Community Development District was held on Thursday, **September 9, 2021** at 1:00 p.m. at the Heritage Crossing Community Center, 7715 Heritage Crossing Way, Reunion, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Mark Greenstein
Don Harding
Trudy Hobbs
Steven Goldstein
John Dryburgh

Chairman
Vice Chairman
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams
Kristen Trucco
Steve Boyd
Alan Scheerer
Nicole Ailes
Mike Smith
Zac Johnson (*by phone*)
Graham Staley

District Manager
District Counsel
District Engineer
Field Manager
Yellowstone
Yellowstone
Reunion Village Development
Reunion West CDD Board Member

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. and called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Ms. Adams: This is public comment period. Are there any members of the public? Seeing none,

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Approval of the Summary Minutes of the
August 12, 2021 Meeting**

Ms. Adams: Are there any comments, corrections or additions?

Mr. Greenstein: No, I think the summary minutes are fine as presented.

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor the Summary Minutes of the August 12, 2021 Meeting as presented were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Security Upgrades and
Parking Rules for Carriage Point**

Ms. Adams: The next item is the security upgrades and parking rules for Carriage Pointe and Reunion Village. This item was brought to the attention of District Staff and Security from the Master Association staff. They had been approached on several occasions by groups of residents over at Carriage Pointe. There were concerns about vehicle break-ins and residential theft. There were also concerns regarding improper use of the dumpster. So, Master Association staff kindly sought information from Envera. Included in your agenda packet for consideration under tab four is a proposal from Envera. This lays out some different security options for Board Members to consider for Carriage Pointe. The current situation is this is a gated community. When vehicles approach the gate and get within a certain proximity, that gate automatically opens.

Mr. Dryburgh: Has it always done that?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes.

Mr. Dryburgh: Or is this something that changed because it was broken or has it always done that?

Mr. Scheerer: Not to elaborate on a whole lot, back in the day there was a little guard shack for a golf course with a guard in it. There was a request to try to put it in a permanent guardhouse at one time, but the footprint didn't allow for it. Because the roads are public, we had to make sure that people have access. So, you just pull up at the gate and the gate opens automatically. It's been that way since they removed the temporary guardhouse.

Mr. Dryburgh: It sounds like you can't change it, it's always going to be open. It is a public roadway, but you're saying that everyone has to have access to it.

Ms. Adams: Just like other Reunion roads, public access is required. That doesn't mean that there can't be a staffed entrance or a monitored entrance.

Mr. Scheerer: But if somebody comes...

Mr. Dryburgh: If they drive up, they're going to get access.

Ms. Adams: Correct.

Mr. Dryburgh: Thank you.

Mr. Harding: We've had emergency situations with the fire department coming in and all that.

Mr. Scheerer: Fire is an SOS on that, for emergency services similar to what's on the resident only gate at Excitement. So, if they hit the switch on their siren, the gates are going to open automatically anyway.

Mr. Dryburgh: I guess that's my point. You're saying that this particular entrance cannot have the same kind of gate controllers we have at Excitement because it is the only gate entrance into the community

Mr. Scheerer: Correct. It's the only community not interconnected with the rest of Reunion.

Mr. Dryburgh: But there's no other entrance?

Mr. Scheerer: Correct.

Ms. Adams: Yes. So, we have the current situation and we understand the dynamics of the road in that vicinity. There's just one main road and then a little spur road that goes down to residential property. There are three different aspects of the proposal with Envera. One is a kiosk system with verification. What that is, is remote monitored gate. This is just like the setup for Reunion West Encore that's on the north side of the road, where vehicles pull up to the road or vehicles pull up to the kiosk. The kiosk is monitored remotely and there's interaction between the monitor and the entrance vehicle. There's information that's collected or acquired. Ultimately, these are public roads and public access is required, but this is a monitoring system. Are there any questions regarding the monitoring system or the remote monitoring and how that works? The next aspect of this proposal is the high-speed barrier arm. This is simply to keep traffic from tailgating. Once one vehicle has access, there's a rapid arm that closes behind once the vehicle passes, so a vehicle cannot tailgate in. Then the next aspect of this is simply an automatic access for residents. Similar to what's at the other Reunion gates where there's an RFID card. The same RFID reader that is at other Reunion gates would be at this gate. There's automatic access for those residents and guests who have cards issued to them. There is information regarding the capital investment for all three of these programs and then there's also information regarding the ongoing cost to maintain. There's a Preventative Maintenance Agreement and Service Agreement that's included for Board consideration. So the remote monitoring kiosk installment, you'll see that quote is \$18,000. You'll see that the high-speed LED barrier gate arm is \$10,000 and you'll see that the RFID automatic reader for residents is \$5,500. So your total exact investment, should

the Board choose to implement the remote monitoring LED arm and automatic access for residents would be \$33,629.53 and you see that amount right in the middle of Page 2.

Mr. Dryburgh: Why would they need access as residents using the RFID card when every single person that drives down can have access whether they have an RFID card or not?

Ms. Adams: It would expedite that process. So rather than that vehicle needing to stop and interact with a guard they would have automatic access much like at the other gates.

Mr. Dryburgh: So they would purchase the RFID card from security as we do here.

Ms. Adams: Exactly.

Mr. Dryburgh: It's the same RFID card, that's giving them access to everything else here.

Ms. Adams: Exactly. Correct, yes. If there are no other questions on this section, I'll just go through the preventative maintenance section. There is a monthly investment. This is the staffing of the virtual gate, which is \$1,158.72 a month. There is the preventative maintenance and service plan of \$100 a month for the LED arm and then the database management service and maintenance plan for the RFID reader, which is \$192 a month. So, this is ready for Board discussion. This was not explicitly funded as part of your upcoming budget, but you do have discretionary funds in your Repair and Maintenance (R&M) Fund. There are contingency dollars should the Board choose to implement these additional security measures for Carriage Pointe.

Mr. Greenstein: Let me just say that when I saw this item, I spoke with Tricia and asked her where did it basically come from. My next question was, why haven't we done this already, Because Carriage Pointe is basically a stepchild community kind of because of the fact it's outside of the gates. This is going back a long time ago, probably 15 years ago or 14 years ago when we were forced to change the gate to allow for access. So, it's automatic access. That's not the level of security that we afford everyone else who is within Reunion. So, I'm glad to see this proposal. I think the initial investment is modest. Based upon our balance in the R&M fund, I don't think it's a problem covering \$33,000. Then the monthly nut is again modest compared to physically staffing. It would cost us far more to physically staff 24/7 at the site by doing it remotely and with the RFID tags like everyone else has. I think it puts them on a level playing field with everyone else.

Mr. Dryburgh: How much are they paying? There is a contract saying there will be a charge to the homeowners.

Ms. Adams: They may have referred to a charge to the Homeowners Association, but in effect, that would be a charge to the CDD.

Mr. Dryburgh: There's not some additional charge that was not documented and applied to the various homes. It's all going to be under CDD?

Ms. Adams: Yes. Correct.

Mr. Dryburgh: I would certainly agree. Your comments are absolutely on target. The residents of our community should given the same security as much as the law will allow, seeing as how it is still a public road. We should be able to have the police track who came into that community based on this. I'm assuming that the quality will be good enough so they can pick up the driver and license plates. If there's breaking and entering, they could at least track that.

Mr. Greenstein: The fact that it's already in place at the East entrance for everyone at the Encore Reunion West Resort off of N. Old Lake Wilson Road. It's there and I see people. I'm not timing them to see how long it's taking to clear, but it has to be pretty rapid because I have never really seen any backup, of course.

Mr. Goldstein: I have seen it backup, but not very often.

Mr. Greenstein: But again, this community, the fact that it's as small as it is, I didn't measure it but there's a good distance from where the gate will be. You're probably going to have 15 cars lined up before you spill over onto N. Old Lake Wilson Road.

Mr. Goldstein: Those people should have the same security the rest of us do.

Mr. Dryburgh: We're just going to have to do a good job communicating to them on the changes because they're going to be wondering.

Mr. Goldstein: They'll be happy.

Mr. Dryburgh: I'm sure they're thrilled, but we still need to make sure. There will be some folks who are not quite sure.

Ms. Adams: Yes. We would be partnering with the Master Association and with Reunion Security in regards to the installation and implementation and the issuance of the access card.

Mr. Goldstein: The access would go out to everybody.

Ms. Hobbs: It looked as if there's enough space there for a car to turn around if it's not allowed.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Hobbs: Okay. Good.

Ms. Adams: We'll need to be careful there because you'll see in the diagram that you're referring to that there are some cameras affiliated with the remote monitoring. The cameras record

the comings and goings and license tags, etc. So, we'll be careful about the placement of those to ensure that there is a safe radius for a vehicle turn around.

Ms. Hobbs: Great.

Mr. Greenstein: This looks like a very good solution.

Mr. Dryburgh: Yeah.

Ms. Hobbs: I agree.

Mr. Harding: So, we need to vote on this?

Mr. Greenstein: Absolutely.

Ms. Adams: Yes. We would need a motion to approve the security upgrades as presented.

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor the security upgrades as presented were approved.

Ms. Adams: The second part of this agenda item are the Parking Rules. Carriage Pointe does not yet have Parking Rules that have been adopted by the District.

Mr. Dryburgh: I thought they were because residents came in and were very upset because we put up "*No Parking*" signs.

Ms. Adams: No. Those signs were not installed at the direction of the District. We are working in concert with the Master Association and Reunion Security. The Master Association is being helpful to help identify the correct areas for no parking zones, with the goal being free flow of traffic and emergency vehicle access. I don't know how familiar you are with the driveway size and the garage size in Carriage Pointe.

Mr. Dryburgh: Yes. There are 14 families in each house.

Ms. Adams: They are exceptionally small garages and very small driveways. So, for families that have multiple drivers, there is a situation where sometimes street parking is required. So, in this case, the goal of the parking map will be to maximize street parking, but still allowing the free flow of traffic, no blocking of sidewalks or fire hydrants and to ensure that there is emergency vehicle access. So, Alan and I have done a preliminary site meeting. We've met with security onsite to see what issues exist. There has been persistent feedback from the Master Association and I have directly been contacted by residents who live in Carriage Pointe regarding their frustration with the current parking situation. So, by adopting rules with a parking map that the Board approves, that will allow us to enforce the Parking Rules and tow vehicles that are out of compliance with the Parking Rules. In concert with that, it makes good sense to consider

Reunion Village. With Reunion Village, the Board will likely want to consider a no-parking plan that is consistent with the other areas of Reunion where parking is restricted on one side of the street. Typically, the side of the street that's selected is the side of the street where there's parking hydrants or that goes with the free flow of traffic. So, we'll take a look at that and based on the precedent that's been set with the other Reunion areas, we would identify the areas where parking is restricted and then add a rule hearing where that map would be presented for approval along with the rules. We'd be amending your existing parking rules.

Mr. Dryburgh: You mentioned the parking signs that went up on that part of the approved CDD program. I went out there after two or three different residents complained, to see what they were talking about. They were correct. A number of the homes I knocked on their doors to talk with them. They had four or five students, each one having their own car or they had six or seven members of a family in a small unit and they all had cars. So, by having this restriction on hand, they said, *"You're leaving us no choice. There's no place for us to park now."* I'm not quite sure how to address that, but you should be aware that there are many homes out there that have four, five, six and seven cars per house. Their garages are taken up with their storage because the house is so small in relation to the number of people. Now whether that violates county regulations as to how many people can be in a place, I have no idea. I'm just telling you that this is going to be a very difficult situation to address because there's just not room. We talked about having some type of parking area and came back with all the reasons why we couldn't. Then we said, well maybe they're going to have to walk across the street. Well, walking across Old Lake Wilson Road is very dangerous.

Ms. Adams: I think that the overall goal, as long as there's consensus from the Board that this makes good sense, is to maximize the availability of street parking, but yet still retain free flow of traffic and emergency vehicle access for Carriage Pointe.

Mr. Dryburgh: Okay.

Ms. Adams: So, there may be just limited areas that are no parking zones, but there does need to be some controls in place. Apparently before those signs were installed, there were times where vehicles could not get down the road. Obviously, there needs to be some controls there and this is the right way to do it by the CDD amending your Parking Rules. So, this does require a rule hearing and we would need the Board to direct staff. It requires a 28 and 29-day legal notice. So, the soonest that we would be looking at doing this would probably be your November 11th meeting. If the Board is amenable, you would take action today to set a rule hearing for November

11th and at next month's meeting, we would provide a draft of these no parking maps for Carriage Pointe and Reunion Village for Board review. We would do a brief legal review of the current Parking Rules and see if there are any other environmental changes or anything else that needs to be addressed while we're doing the rule hearing and then your rule hearing would take place in November. By that time, you would have had an opportunity to review the maps at least once and provide input. I know you've all done this a time or two.

Mr. Greenstein MOVED to set a rate hearing for the parking rules for November 11, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. at this location and Ms. Hobbs seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams: So, we will put the wheels in motion for the rule hearing on November 11th. Is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor setting a rate hearing for the parking rules for November 11, 2021 at 1:00 p.m. at this location was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Ratification of Natural Gas Sales Agreement with Gas South for Seven Eagles

Ms. Adams: The next item is the ratification of a Natural Gas Sales Agreement with Gas South for Seven Eagles. Alan, would you like to present this item?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes. This is the cost of providing gas similar to what we have with the previous vendor. We recently adopted their proposal for the CDD pools. This is just covering the one for Seven Eagles. They changed their name to the Gas South, if you remember.

Mr. Greenstein MOVED to ratify the Natural Gas Sales Agreement with Gas South for Seven Eagles and Mr. Goldstein seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams: Is there any further discussion?

Ms. Hobbs: No.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the Natural Gas Sales Agreement with Gas South for Seven Eagles was ratified.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2021-19
Relating to the Declaration of Intent to
Accept Dedications on the Plat Entitled
Reunion Village Phase 4 and 5**

Ms. Adams: We have a few resolutions that legal counsel had been working on related to Reunion Village Development and properties that will be conveyed to the District. We'll take this one by one. The first item for consideration is Resolution 2021-19.

Ms. Hobbs: Kristen, is this one where you handed out a revised resolution?

Ms. Trucco: No.

Ms. Adams: Included in your agenda packet is Resolution 2021-19. Kristen has been working on this. Would you like to present this?

Ms. Trucco: It's just an revokable plat. Osceola County requests a declaration from the District approving a plat and the dedications for the CDD. It's a requirement of Osceola County for this developer to get approval. The plat is attached to the resolution. It's for Phases 4 and 5. The developer is EHOF Acquisitions II Borrower, LLC. You can see the draft plat that is attached to the resolution. We reviewed the plat and Steve has reviewed the plat, confirming that all the dedications are in accordance with the Engineer's Report for this year's 2021 bonds, which these phases relate to. Steve, do you have anything to add on your review?

Mr. Boyd: No, I didn't have any issues or problems with the plat.

Ms. Trucco: So based on our review, everything is consistent with the initial plans for the development for this year's 2021 bonds. Like I said, we're just looking for a motion to approve this so the developer can proceed with the county and their development plans. Does anyone have any questions?

Mr. Greenstein: Yeah, I do. The assets that would be turned over to CDD for stormwater management and any other asset that we may have, have they been developed? Are there things in here that are not shown or things that don't reflect the current status or condition of the asset that we should be concerned with?

Mr. Boyd: The Phase 4 and 5 plat is all future development work, so none of this has been developed yet. They're doing a plat and a performance bond through the county, so they can start taking sales of lots in advance of construction.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay. So, any discussion we had outside the meeting prior to the meeting concerning conveyances does not apply to this issue.

Mr. Boyd: That's correct.

Mr. Greenstein: That's fine. I just needed that clarified.

Ms. Trucco: In addition, anything that is dedicated to being conveyed to the CDD will have to be conveyed once it's complete.

Mr. Greenstein: That's fine.

Ms. Trucco: So, there's an Acquisition Agreement that this developer entered into with the CDD that requires, before anything can be conveyed to the CDD, that the District Engineer must review it, determine and certify that it's up to the standards to be conveyed to the CDD. That's a good question. So, this certainly isn't approving the conveyance at this moment. It's just being dedicated to us.

Mr. Greenstein: Fine. Thanks for the clarification.

Ms. Adams: Mr. Chairman, that plat notes are consistent with what the District plans to own. The plat notes in particular have been reviewed by legal counsel and by our District Engineer. District management staff also took a look to ensure that there was access to the stormwater ponds in the name of the District and that everything you would want to see as a plat note has been included. So, the plat notes are consistent with the plan in terms of ultimate ownership.

<p>On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor Resolution 2021-19 Relating to the Declaration of Intent to Accept Dedications on the Plat Entitled Reunion Village Phase 4 and 5 was adopted.</p>
--

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2021-20
Approving/Ratifying Conveyances of
Real Property and Improvements from
EHOF II Spectrum, LLC Related to Bond
Requisition No. 1**

Ms. Adams: The resolution is 2021-20, approving and ratifying conveyances of real property. I believe that Kristen has handed out some revisions on this and that she's prepared to present the resolution.

Ms. Trucco: Yes. Thank you, Tricia. I handed out some conveyance documents to you all and those should be attached to the resolution as Exhibit A. There's a reference to that right now, but now you actually have the actual conveyance documents, I'll go through them now.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay.

Ms. Trucco: So, these two Resolutions, 2021-20 and 2021-21 are identical and so are the conveyance documents with the actual tracts with the improvements vary slightly. I'll go through the first one, which is Resolution 2021-20. This is a resolution for the developer EHOF II Spectrum, LLC.

Mr. Dryburgh: I'm sorry. You handed two of these out. How do we know which is which?

Ms. Trucco: You have two Special Warranty Deeds on the top for two Spectrum LLC. tracts. One is going from the grantor. That's the first one we'll go through now.

Mr. Dryburgh: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Trucco: This is approving the conveyance of real property tracts and improvements from the developer to the District. This is part of a requisition. The developer is permitted to receive funds for completed work in accordance with an Acquisition Agreement that was entered into by the District with the developer for the series 2021 bonds. Attached to Resolution 2021-20 should be the Special Warranty Deed from EHOF Spectrum LLC and then attached to the Special Warranty Deed are the actual real property tracts that are going to be conveyed from the developer to the District. There's also a Bill of Sale, which is the document that transfers the improvements related to those tracts from the developer to the District. Following that is an agreement regarding taxes and an Owner's Affidavit, which are promises from the developer that there are no outstanding taxes or incumbrances on the real property tracts or improvements that would hinder the District's ability to own and maintain those real property tracts and improvements. Following that is a Certificate of the District Engineer, certifying that the real property tracts and improvement are up to the standards required for the District to take ownership of those. Steve's here and he can comment as well. What we're looking for today is approval of Resolution 2021-

20, in substantially final form and delegation to the Chairman to execute and finalize the conveyance documents, once they've been signed off by District Counsel and the District Engineer, as some of these tracts are still in the process of being completed. Steve's working with the developer to make sure it's certified and complete. I know that was a lot of information.

Mr. Dryburgh: Are we purchasing the street lights or leasing them?

Ms. Adams: They are leased.

Mr. Dryburgh: Even know, there's a list of improvements, which we don't own?

Ms. Adams: The lease is in the name of the District. That's where we go back to the plat notes that clarify that those street lights are planned to be leased and that the District holds that lease.

Ms. Trucco: The street lights were included in the Engineer's Report.

Mr. Boyd: I think it provides for the District to take over the lease.

Ms. Trucco: Okay. So, bond funds will be used.

Mr. Dryburgh: I wanted to know why we were paying it off.

Ms. Adams: Sometimes the leases require a CAIC upfront capital contribution, but when we take those over, we're just assuming the lease with the monthly charge.

Mr. Dryburgh: I got that.

Ms. Adams: Those costs have been considered as part of your Fiscal Year 2022 budget. All of the Reunion Village ponds, landscape maintenance, etc., Alan has been working with our service providers for quite a few months on making sure that the appropriate amount is budgeted, including street lights, etc.

Mr. Dryburgh: Thank you.

Mr. Greenstein: As referenced in Exhibit A, do we have printouts of the tracts showing the parcels? I guess the reason why I'm questioning it is, does this include only the water park side of the street or does it include across the street from the water park?

Mr. Boyd: So on the Spectrum conveyance, it was initially drafted that there was going to be tracts; Tract 1, which is the road coming in and two stormwater ponds on the south side. The latest conversation I've had with Encore is this stormwater tract plus the revised and repermited site plan is changing, so we can't take those yet. The roadway is complete and ready to accept, but there are some questions about what other portions of Spectrum the District is going to be taking or is not going to be taking in the future.

Ms. Adams: So that's why the approval and delegation of authority is subject to staff review so that the District Engineer is signing off that these are the correct parcels and all of the improvements have been made to standard, because we're not there yet, but we're anticipating to be.

Mr. Greenstein: I was also trying to backdoor into any knowledge you may have of their plans to develop the parcel across the street, as well as the rest of the parcel that seems to already have infrastructure installed.

Mr. Boyd: I haven't not seen the specific plans yet.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay.

Mr. Boyd: The other one related to the Village, I don't really anticipate the changing on that one. We are looking at finalizing just the one that deals with Spectrum.

Ms. Trucco: So, you are saying, you just need delegation for the first one, Spectrum.

Mr. Boyd: Correct.

Ms. Adams: Okay.

Mr. Johnson: Steve, this is Zac. I would just like to point out, to work through this, there were certain parcels where the final landscaping has not been installed yet. We're going through those right now and you'll see those later today. There may be a few parcels removed from that list. So, you probably need delegation on that as well.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay.

Mr. Johnson: We will look at the final version and I'll have it today with a little explanation for each one, but you probably need delegation for that as well.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Trucco: For now, we need to handle Resolution 2021-20, which includes Requisition Number 1 for EHOFF II Spectrum, LLC and the related conveyances for that requisition that we just walked through. We need a motion to approve and then also delegation of authority to the chair to execute and finalize what the District Engineer, District Counsel has signed off on.

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Ms. Hobbs with all in favor Resolution 2021-20 Approving/Ratifying Conveyances of Real Property and Improvements from EHOFF II Spectrum, LLC Related to Bond Requisition No. 1 was adopted in substantial form subject to staff review and the Chairman approving and executing the final form.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2021-21
Approving/Ratifying Conveyances of
Real Property and Improvements from
EHOF Acquisitions II Borrower, LLC
Related to Bond Requisition No. 1**

Ms. Adams: Do we have a similar motion for Resolution 2021-21?

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor Resolution 2021-21 Approving/Ratifying Conveyances of Real Property and Improvements from EHOF Acquisitions II Borrower, LLC Related to Bond Requisition No. 1 was adopted in substantial form subject to staff review and the Chairman approving and executing the final form.

Ms. Adams: Is there any other discussion or questions on property conveyance or status of Reunion Village development? Hearing none,

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Ms. Adams: Kristen?

Ms. Trucco: I have nothing new to report to the Board today. I can provide follow-up that we have sent the Interlocal Agreement to Osceola County that you approved at last month's meeting. We're just waiting for a response, but there are no other new updates, except for we were trying to get these requisitions processed and the conveyances that are related to it. So, thank you. That's it.

B. Engineer

Mr. Boyd: Related to the Davenport Creek Bridge to the Villages, the survey has been authorized and is underway. So, we will have that next month and have more information proceeding with gates. We are also continuing to work with the Department of Transportation (DOT) to take over inspections for that bridge. That's in process.

Mr. Greenstein: Good.

Ms. Adams: Sounds good. Are there any questions for Steve? Hearing none,

**C. District Manager's Report
i. Action Items List**

Ms. Adams: We have the Action Items List, which was included in the agenda package. Reunion East action items begin on Page 1 and continue down through Page 3. Mr. Chairman, would you like for me to walk through the projects.

Mr. Greenstein: A summary walk-through.

Ms. Adams: Okay. There were no changes on irrigation turnover. You just had an update regarding the Reunion Village resident access.

Mr. Greenstein: Summary with a caveat. Kristen, what's going on with irrigation turnover, for the record? We've heard that they are working on it and they're close for at least six months, maybe more. So, is it viable? Is it going to happen? Are we just dotting "T's" and crossing "T's" or do we have substantive differences? Is the jury deadlocked on this one?

Ms. Trucco: That's understandable. We did have a conference call with their counsel. The agreement that they proposed is fairly one sided, which is predictable. So, we are finalizing our comments to try to make the agreement more favorable for the District. We can have our comments out by the time we meet again next month.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay. I know obviously it's subject to litigation, the rest of it potentially, so it's sensitive, but I would like that information to be in the records so people know we're not just letting it happen.

Ms. Adams: In regards to Seven Eagles Management, last month the Board approved a proposal for construction services with Berry Construction. I don't know if you've seen some of the demolition work, but Alan is here today. He does have an update on the status and the timeline for that.

Mr. Scheerer: They are tearing it all out as we speak. They started with the kitchen. I will need to meet with him to go over the flooring selection. So, hopefully Tricia will have some time soon and we can go meet with Chet. He's been doing the demo last couple days. So, it's well underway and we will be ready to go with the paint and flooring soon.

Ms. Adams: Then the next step after that will be working with a vendor regarding what equipment in the existing Fitness Center might need to be relocated and what equipment might need to be added to either space in order to complement each room, each Fitness Center and wellness space. So, that information will be presented to the Board for consideration at a future meeting. I think perhaps Alan mentioned earlier in the Reunion West meeting, that there are some fabrication and supplier issues with the pool furniture. That is not unique to Reunion East. We

are having the same issue with pool furniture throughout Central Florida and perhaps beyond. That information, Alan has been notified time after time that the shipping date is delayed 30 days. So, we don't have a lot of confidence in the shipping date that we currently have.

Mr. Scheerer: September 14th is the tentative date.

Ms. Adams: We know the vendor is a good partner with Reunion East and is doing all that they can to ensure delivery. Are there any other comments on Seven Eagles Management, Alan?

Mr. Scheerer: No.

Ms. Adams: The next item is actually included in your agenda packet under Security Reports. At last month's meeting, the Board requested to review the security comments from the Osceola County Sheriff's Office. We have that as an independent agenda item today. The new pool access gate that is installed in Seven Eagles, appears to be working well. We can probably use that as a prototype for the other security gates. We do need to have a vendor who is willing to fabricate those and install those. I think that might be the holdup right now.

Mr. Scheerer: Correct.

Ms. Adams: So, we will continue to work on that.

Mr. Goldstein: Have you found any vendors at all that are interested?

Mr. Scheerer: Well, Access Control might be able to help us out with that, so I'm talking with their sales team right now. I know that the company that did the original install would prefer going forward if we add some set of engineering drawings that they can bid on and work from. I'm going to wait and see what Access Control does. They do a lot of this gated fabrication and installation. So, as soon as I hear back from Mike or Rob at ACT, I will have a follow up for the Board. If not, we may need to actually have a set of plans designed that addresses the entry gates.

Mr. Goldstein: So, there will be the same design for all of the gates.

Mr. Scheerer: The exact same design; five foot tall with a gate handle at three feet and key card access. The same as what is at Seven Eagles.

Mr. Greenstein: The only operational issue with the gate that I've at encountered is we need a more heavy duty hinge.

Mr. Scheerer: Well, we're going to probably do away with the hinge. At another District in St. Cloud, Tohoqua, they are going to try a different type of closing mechanism. They ordered it and if it works out, we will do the same thing for ours. So, the onsite team there, the HOA team, manages that. Those hinges just keeps springing. They open the gate as far as it can and just pops the springs. With what was done, what was spent and how it was designed, it looks really nice

and I just don't want to pop a punch out holes into this gate unnecessarily to put in a bigger closer or multiple closers. So, we're just going to find one that works. For the most part, reaching over stuff has been eliminated, unless you're Shaq. I can't reach the handles.

Mr. Greenstein: The gate overall looks good. It's reasonable in appearance, and function. Again, like you say, it's just the self-closing aspect of it.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes.

Mr. Greenstein: If we get that, then I think we have completed the mission.

Mr. Scheerer: I think so. Yes.

Ms. Adams: Are there any other comments on the pool gates? There is no change regarding the feasibility of selling the public road to a private entity.

Ms. Hobbs: Is this really just dead in the water or we can still have both?

Ms. Adams: I can push for an official response. I'd be happy to take this off the list should the Board wish.

Mr. Harding: They are no good. It is not going to happen.

Mr. Dryburgh: They've already said that they are not interested, so let's just get that officially in writing and then we can take it off the list.

Ms. Hobbs: Yeah, that's what I was thinking. If we really don't think it's going to happen, why put it on there.

Ms. Adams: The next item is the contact sheet for Reunion East. Right now, most of the communication for Reunion East in terms of maintenance items, has been coming through the Master Association staff who are very diligent to communicate directly with Alan and with me regarding any matters that come up. So, I'm still determining the extent that we need to put information out and how to best do that. The next item is the notification of owners on the south side of County Road 532 regarding the date that we will discontinue maintenance. Once we have a update on behalf of Osceola County regarding their acceptance of that addendum and a better understanding of if they're going to require us to uninstall anything, we will be able to communicate with those property owners and notify them regarding a date that the landscape enhancements will no longer be maintained.

Mr. Dryburgh: The hold up there is because the last one, which seems to me if I recall correctly, they didn't have an employee working.

Ms. Adams: Well, this is a little bit of a different approach. I think the approach a couple of years ago, based on the communication I reviewed was, *"Hey, would you like to participate*

and help us out." Basically, there was not even the courtesy of official responses from most of the parties. So, this communication will be different. It's really just notifying those property owners that the Reunion East CDD will no longer be maintaining those areas. That's the end of the communication. So, it's incumbent on them to make a decision on if they want to have landscape enhancements.

Mr. Dryburgh: But we're still waiting on Osceola County?

Ms. Adams: Yes.

Mr. Dryburgh: The last time, we waited on them. It came out, *"We don't have an employee to respond to you. They are on vacation."* Are we in a position now where we can have a deadline? Are they going to give us a response?

Ms. Trucco: So this First Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement, is the agreement that was approved last month. We've sent this to Osceola County during the process of reviewing.

Mr. Dryburgh: Okay.

Ms. Trucco: I can follow up on that to see if they have an idea about when they'll finish that.

Mr. Dryburgh: I'd like to get this done by the end of the year certainly.

Ms. Trucco: Okay.

Ms. Adams: The District would then be relieved of that landscape maintenance expense, which will be a boon for your operating budget.

Ms. Adams: Then, there is no change in the Duke streetlights. Materials have been ordered and when they get delivered and Duke gets their staff lined up, then we'll see those streetlights go in. Are there any questions regarding the Action Items List or any items Board Members would like to see added?

Mr. Dryburgh: I have one question about the streetlights. Within the members' parking lots for the golf course, there are four or five or six lights that are off, turned off or burnt out most of the time. Those are not our lights?

Mr. Goldstein: No.

Ms. Adams: Correct.

Mr. Dryburgh: Is Reunion participating with Duke also to get those updates so we don't have to worry about them being burned out.

Ms. Adams: I can't speak on behalf of their operations, but Alan may be aware.

Mr. Scheerer: Security is actually doing a Streetlight Report.

Mr. Goldstein: Half of my street is out now.

Mr. Scheerer: So, they go through it and I'm getting literally 20 of these a week that are being reported out and responses from Duke Energy.

Mr. Dryburgh: Okay.

Mr. Scheerer: Now I haven't looked at whether or not they were in the members parking lot or not.

Mr. Dryburgh: Well, we've got a brand new members parking lot on the west side. Every couple of years, we are changing these lights. So, would you just reach out to them to confirm that they are going to do something with that.

Mr. Scheerer: I will speak to Victor tomorrow after he gets back about the lights. I know that I reported some with Mr. Goldstein.

Mr. Dryburgh: Well, we're entering into the dark.

Ms. Adams: Yeah.

Mr. Goldstein: We are three months on that one light. You reported it three months ago.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah. Just so you know, I don't think this applies in Mr. Goldstein's area, but in these parking lots, there are vehicles parked anywhere. If they can't get around these lights, they're not going to fix them.

Mr. Dryburgh: Right.

Mr. Scheerer: So if you go over across from Seven Eagles, good luck trying to report any of those lights out. There are cars parked in there 24/7.

Mr. Greenstein: I can say the last time we had service in that area, which is probably two-and-a-half years ago before COVID, the issue was parking. So, they did have an organized approach where security cordoned off the area, kept us out of the lot and Duke came in and did the servicing of the lamp posts.

Mr. Goldstein: They have to do that with the members lot or they can't get in there.

Mr. Greenstein: Exactly.

Mr. Scheerer: You see OUC out here and will see on all of Reunion Boulevard, Tradition Boulevard and Excitement Drive, all the lights are off. Then can just go by and fix them, but they don't have that same parking challenges per say as you do with any of the park areas in Seven Eagles.

Mr. Dryburgh: We just need to get security to work with Reunion to say, *"This is the week that they're going to be here. We would like to shut the parking lot down on Monday. We've got them scheduled to come on Wednesday and be done on Wednesday."* It has to be coordinated.

Mr. Scheerer: Unfortunately Duke isn't the best to coordinate onsite visits because you report them online. You get on the computer, you pick out the light, you fill out all the information, they send you a confirmation and they'll be out in three to five business days. They don't give you a specific business day. It's three to five business days, but what we would do in Seven Eagles is as soon as we notify them, we notify security. I will work with Victor on that for the members areas as well. Then I would say, *"Hey, I got this light out."* We flag them. We flag them all, so you know which ones are out.

Mr. Dryburgh: They could put in LEDS when you are doing that. So why not do all of them whether or not they're out. Just get them all done.

Mr. Harding: You would think so.

Mr. Scheerer: We do those in sections

Ms. Adams: It is possible that if the light fixture is scheduled to be switched out to LED, that might be slowing down repairs on the HPS. I don't know, depending on when they are coming, in terms of Duke's scheduling the maintenance.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah. I'm getting with them on a weekly basis.

Ms. Adams: I will ping the coordinator who is facilitating the install of the LED fixtures and see if this could potentially be impacting the maintenance for the existing street lights. They're scheduled to be changed out because as you are well aware and have mentioned, we are losing daylight every day and facing a time change. Are there any other remarks regarding the Action Items List? Hearing none,

ii. Approval of Check Register

Ms. Adams: The next item on the agenda does require Board action. This is the approval of the Check Register. Included in your agenda packet under Tab 2 is a summary of your Check Register from August 1 to August 31, 2021. It details your General Fund, Replacement and Maintenance (R&M) Fund and expenses. The detailed Check Register is included in the agenda packet. The total amount is \$236,373.89. I'm happy to answer your questions. Otherwise, we'd be seeking a motion to approve.

Mr. Goldstein: Did we skip right over the security issue over by The Terraces, the bad 7-11 area. It is not on the agenda.

Ms. Adams: It is. Under the Security Report, we have an item, "*Review of Security Assessment Provided by Osceola County Sheriff's Office*." I did just gloss over that on the Action Items List because we will be discussing it.

Mr. Goldstein: All right. I'm sorry.

Ms. Adams: We are coming to that. Absolutely. So, do we have a motion to approve the Check Register.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Mr. Goldstein with all in favor the August Check Register was approved as presented.

iii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Ms. Adams: The next item in your agenda package are the unaudited financials through July 31st. This includes your Combined Balance Sheet for your General Fund as well as your R&M Fund. Page 2 identifies your prorated expenses year-to-date as well as your actual expenses through the end of July. This does not require any Board action. Your spending is actually under your prorated budget analysis.

iv. Status of Direct Bill Assessments

Ms. Adams: Let me double-check the direct bill verbiage in here because we actually did receive all of the payments for the fiscal year prior to issuing the Series 2021 debt. So, everything should be reflected as current and it appears so. We are 100% collected.

v. Presentation of Arbitrage Rebate Calculation Reports

Ms. Adams: The next item on the agenda is the Arbitrage Reports, which are behind Tab 5. We have the reports for the Series 2015-A, 2015-1, 2, and 3, which are actually going to be retired soon. This Board has performed Arbitrage Rebate Calculation Reports each year. This ties back to Internal Revenue codes requiring tax-exempt bonds to not be earning more interest than what you're paying. All of these reports have the same finding. If you look at your cover page, your fourth paragraph down identifies that the computations indicate a negative rebate requirement, meaning there is no arbitrage issue. So, these are all in such a standing that would not require a penalty, which is good. Do we have a motion to accept the Arbitrage Rebate Calculation Reports?

On MOTION by Ms. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the Arbitrage Rebate Calculation Report was approved.

D. Security Report

i. 24 Parking Violations

Ms. Adams: The next item is the Security Report. Unfortunately, Victor could not be here today, but we are going to go through these items. There were 24 parking violations issued during the month of August. The detailed information was provided to Board Members under separate cover. The next item is, at last month's Board meeting, there was a request to review the parking violation. Then after further discussion, there was also discussion regarding how to best communicate parking violations specifically for a sidewalk. So, the parking violation that had been in place was modified so that now there's explicit citation of the State Statutes that would be in play regarding prohibiting parking on the sidewalk and also the County Ordinance. As you look at the parking warning, Kristen and her staff identified the Statutes and the County Ordinance, where this used to be other and they would fill in, it's now specifically identifying the issue of parking on a sidewalk. So, the template is the same with the additional verbiage in here and this will be the template that is used for future printing. In the meantime, security can cite these Statutes.

Mr. Harding: Can they place that on their window or something?

Ms. Adams: Yeah, they place it on the vehicle.

Mr. Harding: That's good.

Ms. Trucco: That came up at the end of the last meeting that you wanted something citing the actual Florida Statute and that County Ordinance that prohibits parking on a sidewalk.

Mr. Harding: That'll help enforce, I think. People see that on there and say, "*Oh my God.*"

Mr. Greenstein: You would hope it looks more official. The operative word on the whole notice is "*can result*" or "*may result*".

Ms. Adams: But the word "*towing*" is there, which is a big word.

Mr. Greenstein: Yes. One would hope that that's what they focus on and they see the citation in the reference. So we'll see.

Mr. Harding: That's good.

Mr. Greenstein: Thank you.

Ms. Adams: Are there any other comments regarding the parking warning notices?

Ms. Hobbs: No.

ii. **Review of Security Assessment Provided by Osceola County Sheriff's Office**

Ms. Adams: The next item that we were planning to discuss was the review of the security assessment that was provided by the Osceola County Sheriff's Office. This was originally provided September 16, 2020, so about a year ago from Deputy Joe Whitson with the Osceola County Sheriff's Office. He identified different areas that the District may want to consider making security improvements. As a result of this report, the Board authorized the purchase of security cameras. As part of the Action Items List, I noted that the other items were on hold. So there was a request last month to, again review this information and see if there were any recommendations from security staff regarding additional actions that the District should take in order to improve security. In particular, there were two boundary areas that were identified by the Osceola County Sheriff's Office that the Board may want to consider as access points. So, Alan kindly provided a map of Reunion and marked out areas that were flagged by the Osceola County Sheriff's office. One of them is not CDD property, so we don't have the ability to make a change.

Mr. Scheerer: On Sandy Ridge and 545.

Mr. Scheerer: The Condo Association for Kingwood. There were a couple of emergency access points throughout Reunion and that happens to be one of them. That property does not fall on CDD property.

Mr. Harding: Okay. The other one is what?

Mr. Scheerer: Well, the 7-11 is down there as everybody knows at the corner of N. Old Lake Wilson Road. We did install, years ago, a pedestrian fence on either side of Excitement Drive and 545. There's also a wall that runs along the edge of this whole property right here. It's heavily landscaped, so nobody can really drive in that way, but there is a fence that comes around the gas transmission location at the 7-11. It would just be whether or not we needed to try to save anymore of that 532 area between 532 and The Terraces. I don't have Victor here to confirm any other locations within the 7-11 area to add any more fencing. Anybody can at this point access it.

Mr. Harding: Just drive right through.

Mr. Scheerer: Well, they can't drive through here. We installed about a dozen Crape Myrtles over the years to try to prevent driving through. Oriole Homes was the original developer for The Terraces. They have a construction entrance on that site. There is a double gate there that's been cable locked off and "No Trespassing" signs added. There's really nothing in between there and here other than a wall, but there are some other access points even really along N. Old Lake

Wilson Road through the golf course if they want. There's access there too at this particular area behind 7-11, this footbridge right here.

Ms. Adams: That's primarily foot traffic that may or may not be a concern. It would be good to have Victor's input regarding if they are having issues in that area. We'd be happy to bring this back at next month's meeting when Victor can attend.

Mr. Harding: Let's do that.

Ms. Adams: So, the first paragraph was regarding the cameras and then that next paragraph was regarding pool gates, which is in process. The next paragraph is the area we are discussing now behind 7-11. We don't know to what extent there is an issue. There's also a thought that perhaps the same condition exists at many areas at Reunion and it may not make sense to address one area when you can walk a short distance and have the same type of access. So, that's a consideration there. Again, it would be helpful to have Victor's input in terms of the level of concern. Then the area with Sandy Ridge is the next paragraph, but again, that is not a CDD parcel, so we can't make changes there. The next item that Osceola County recommended or put forward was a situation at the entrance gates regarding vehicles backing up and moving back and forth. This is a pretty hostile device here.

Mr. Greenstein: The tire puncture approach.

Ms. Adams: It's a spike strip. It provides a deterrent for impatient drivers. So, at this time security did not consider installing this type of device.

Mr. Goldstein: It's overkill.

Ms. Adams: Yeah. It's pretty hostile.

Mr. Scheerer: That's been suggested a number of times in multiple Districts.

Ms. Adams: Yeah. So, it's in here, but I don't think there was a consideration. Then the next item was regarding the ability of law enforcement to respond to noise disturbances. So, that's the meat of the report.

Mr. Greenstein: Okay.

Ms. Adams: Is there any further discussion or would Board members like to see this brought back next month to address?

Mr. Dryburgh: Well, I think Security has to provide some information for next month.

Ms. Adams: Okay.

Mr. Dryburgh: We never did install cameras to see if anyone's coming in?

Mr. Scheerer: At the terraces?

Mr. Dryburgh: Correct.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, there's cameras.

Mr. Dryburgh: The whole area does not have cameras to see if anyone is coming.

Mr. Scheerer: No.

Ms. Adams: Just the pool area.

Mr. Scheerer: Just at the gates and around the swimming pools. All the pools were done.

Mr. Dryburgh: We should have some feedback as to whether or not this is an issue because they're not coming in through there, I don't think, to go golfing. They're hopping the fence and going for a swim.

Mr. Goldstein: Or breaking in.

Mr. Dryburgh: Or to break into somebody's house.

Mr. Scheerer: That property at the Sandy Ridge is owned by The Villas of Reunion Square Condo Association.

Mr. Greenstein: Obviously, it's "*gated*" for a couple of reasons, but from a physical standpoint, it's like an open area all along N. Old Lake Wilson Road. I'm sure this was included in Deputy Whitson report because of its proximity to commercial activity, larger populations for traffic. So, hopefully next month when Victor's able to join us and discuss this, we can talk about terrain issues. There are some places where they may be wide open, but it's hard to navigate the area because of the changes in elevation and stuff.

Ms. Adams: Yes or vegetation.

Mr. Greenstein: There may be some landscaping things we can do.

Mr. Harding: Which we've done in the past.

Mr. Greenstein: That would be more pleasing.

Mr. Goldstein: Well, if you've got a four-wheel drive and you're on N. Old Lake Wilson Road, you can go most anywhere in this resort.

Mr. Scheerer: Whether it's the Heritage Crossing side or whether it's the golf course Seven Eagles side.

Mr. Greenstein: To me that is the most vulnerable and yet it has only happened once that I'm aware of is off of Palmilla Court, when you make the turn off of Sinclair onto Traditions Boulevard or if you are leaving the resort. Either way, it doesn't take much to hop that curve and get into the resort without going through security. In the past, we've talked about things that we can possibly do, but aesthetically, they were totally unpleasing.

Mr. Goldstein: Well, we saw that during parties last year. They were parking by the apartments down the street and coming up right through Palmilla Court.

Mr. Greenstein: We've got golf course property, so it's a tough subject. Victor definitely is the custodian of this issue and we'll address it next month.

Ms. Adams: Alright. We will schedule this to come back. Victor does send his regrets. He was not able to attend due to security responsibilities.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Ms. Adams: Is there any other business?

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

Ms. Adams: Are there any Supervisor Requests?

Mr. Harding: I'd like to say a few words. I've been very quiet during the meeting because this is my last meeting and I didn't want to be controversial at my last meeting.

Mr. Greenstein: Why not?

Ms. Hobbs: Your leaving is controversial.

Mr. Harding: As many of you know, my wife and I are moving to The Villages where all the old people are and we figured we'd fit right in. I hope Reunion continues to be a great place to live. We've really enjoyed our 15 years here. I'd like to also thank Alan, Steve, Kristen, Tricia, and the rest of the Board for supporting me. I've enjoyed this time with the Board. I really think your management company is great and I'd like you to say goodbye to George and Jan for me.

Ms. Adams: Absolutely.

Mr. Harding: Because I really enjoyed working with them too. Just keep in mind that we are only an hour away and I intend to keep in touch with all of my good friends and hopefully they will come and see me once in a while too. So, anyway, thank you. I appreciate it.

Ms. Adams: Thank you for your service. *(Applause)*. Since we officially have a resignation from Supervisor Harding, would Board members like to discuss how an appointment to the seat would be handled or do you want to have that discussion next month?

Mr. Greenstein: I personally would like to have it now. So we can plan accordingly.

Ms. Adams: Sounds good. The Board has a lot of leeway in terms of how you make an appointment to this seat on the Board. One thing that works well with many Districts where there are resident Boards, is to notify residents that there is an opening for an appointment on the Board,

ask residents to submit a resume and perhaps a letter of interest indicating why they would like to serve. Then those resumes can be included in the agenda packet for next month's meeting. Candidates would be asked to be here at the Board meeting and interact with the Board members. Hopefully, there's more than one candidate, but if the Board has any questions for candidates or would seek any clarifying information, that would be conducted as part of the business. Then ultimately by motion, the Board can make a motion and with the majority of the vote, appoint someone to the seat that's available on the Board.

Mr. Dryburgh: They are appointing for the duration of?

Ms. Adams: For the duration of the term and then when that term expires, it would go back to the General Election process through the Supervisor of Elections office. If the Board would like to follow that resume process, we can get consensus to direct staff to put out a notice through the Master Association regarding the appointment. It does need to be a qualified electoral of the District, someone who is at least 18, registered to vote and has a Reunion East address. Those qualifying notes would be in the notice.

Mr. Greenstein: Again, it's basically a temporary appointment to serve out the remainder of Don's term. So, while it's an administrative procedure, I think it's a good opportunity to let people know and we will see what candidates we have. Other individuals have approached us.

Mr. Goldstein: I think there are going to be quite a few this time.

Mr. Greenstein: I would hope to see participation. Show an interest because again, at the West meeting, I made the reference when Sharon questioned the MSA over at Seven Eagles, about how it was constituent support and involvement that got the MSA for Seven Eagles to where it ended up being, which we thought at the time, for many years, was the proper place for it. Then we were able to actually serve on the Board when we had enough registered voters. So, it will be good to see what community involvement is like.

Mr. Greenstein MOVED to accept the resignation of Mr. Harding and solicit resumes to consider the appointment of Seat 3 expiring November of 2022 and Mr. Harding seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams: In honor of Supervisor Harding's last meeting, he seconds the motion.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor accepting the resignation of Mr. Harding and solicit resumes to consider the appointment of Seat 3 expiring November of 2022 was approved.

Mr. Greenstein: For the record, again. We jumped to the other subject, the administrative matter. As you said, Don, you've been a good friend for a lot of years and you will continue to be a good friend. Beyond that, you served this Board, the community, in ways that other people would never even have thought of becoming involved.

Mr. Harding: Thank you.

Mr. Greenstein: You've put a lot of time in.

Ms. Adams: Yes.

Mr. Greenstein: Hopefully, people recognize how much time it takes for the Board to function and for GMS to do their work. It's not just showing up at a meeting for a few hours once a month. So, we wish you well. I will be making trips up to The Villages.

Mr. Harding: I hope so.

Mr. Greenstein: And you'll always be welcome back here.

Mr. Harding: Great.

Mr. Greenstein: I wish only the best to you and Karen.

Mr. Harding: Thank you.

Mr. Greenstein: The only other thing I want to mention is at the West meeting, one of the agenda items we had that Graham took leadership on, was discussion of the five-year Capital Plan. That's something that because of all the projects we have where there is joint funding based upon our, I always want to say cross-servicing because that was a federal thing.

Ms. Adams: Interlocal.

Mr. Greenstein: Interlocal agreement. Thank you. We have common interests. We have separate Boards, East and West. A lot of the projects are managed and funded by each Board, but one Board does not act alone. It may physically involve the Sinclair Road Gate, for example, but nothing happens involving the Sinclair Road Gate without discussion of the East side. If there's something happening on the East side that could impact the West side, the same thing is true. So, once we get enough teeth around the issue, which we're going to have in the next meeting or so, then we will have the Capital Planning process as an agenda item on the East Board meeting. I will probably ask Graham to join us. He's voluntarily joining us here today. At a meeting, so everybody could understand exactly what we're doing and why we're doing it. It's not rocket science by any stretch of the imagination. It just provides for greater accountability and focus in our budget execution and planning process.

Ms. Hobbs: Sounds good.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Next Meeting Date

The next meeting date is October 14, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Dryburgh seconded by Mr. Harding with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.



Secretary/Assistant Secretary



Chairman/Vice Chairman