

. MINUTES OF MEETING  
REUNION EAST  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Reunion East Community Development District was held Thursday, December 13, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. at the Heritage Crossing Community Center, 7715 Heritage Crossing Way, Reunion, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Mark Greenstein	Chairman by phone
Don Harding	Vice Chairman
Steven Goldstein	Assistant Secretary
John Dryburgh	Assistant Secretary
Trudy Hobbs	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

George Flint	District Manager
Andrew d'Adesky	District Counsel
Steve Boyd	District Engineer
Alan Scheerer	Operations Manager
John Cruz	CWS Security
Carlton Grant	Reunion Resort
Brian Crumbaker	Hopping Green & Sams by phone
Vivek Babbar	Straley Robin Vericker by phone

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Roll Call**

Mr. Flint called the meeting to order and called the roll. Mr. Greenstein is on the phone but has not yet been officially sworn in.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Comment Period**

Mr. Feely: Are there any updates or have you met with the HOA regarding the parking situation.

Mr. Harding: About a week after the last meeting I met with Oraine and he agreed that perhaps he was a little premature, he was trying to put the signs so that people would become aware of the fact that there are going to be changes. After we talked he agreed it would be a difficult process and they should probably look at expanding a parking lot someplace near where the current trashcans are. Then people would be directed to park excess cars in that area. He has

to come up with a proposal and there will be costs associated for the HOA but I think he is on Board and I suspect the implementation will be delayed. They are now much more aware of the concerns that you and the Board expressed. They are going to take a hard look at how they can accommodate both, minimize impact on emergency vehicles and allow the residents a place to park.

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Organizational Matters**

**A. Administration of Oath of Office to Newly Elected Board Members**

Mr. Flint: We had two Board Members that were reelected and one new Board Member that was elected through the general election process. Mark is not here and once he gets here we can handle Mark's Oath.

Mr. Flint being a Notary Public of the State of Florida administered the Oath of Office to Ms. Hobbs and Mr. Harding.

Mr. Flint: Mr. Greenstein and Mr. Harding were prior Members on the Board and familiar with the Sunshine law, public records law and financial disclosure requirements. You filed your Form 1 with the State of Florida so you don't need to refile that. I believe Ms. Hobbs as part of the process of qualifying to run for this seat had to file a Form 1 financial disclosure. You don't need to file that again. Every June they will mail an update and it will come from the Supervisor of Elections office and they are due July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year. As a public official it is a requirement of the State of Florida that you file that form annually. As a Board Member under Chapter 190, F.S. you are entitled to compensation for your attendance at Board meetings and if you choose to accept it, I provided you with the W-4 and I-9 forms and those come to my office.

Mr. d'Adesky: Supervisors Harding and Greenstein are already well aware of the Sunshine and public records law and I will get you a packet of information and send it to you by email. Essentially, don't talk to any other Board Member about District business. You can talk to them about anything else but not District business.

**B. Consideration of Resolution 2019-01 Electing Officers**

Mr. Flint: After each election the Board is required to consider election of officers and we provided you with a resolution that elects a Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, Assistant Secretaries, Treasurer and Assistant Treasurers. We can handle each office individually or if a Board Member wants to make a motion to elect a slate of officers you can handle it that way.

Previously, Carlton was the last landowner seat and he was Chair, Mark was Vice Chair, the other three Board Members were Assistant Secretaries, I was Secretary and Ariel Lovera who is the accountant was Treasurer. The Chair and Vice Chair have to be Board Members the other offices may or may not be Board Members.

On MOTION by Mr. Harding seconded by Mr. Goldstein with all in favor Resolution 2019-01 was approved reflecting the following officers: Mark Greenstein Chairman, Don Harding Vice Chairman, Steven Goldstein, John Dryburgh and Trudy Hobbs Assistant Secretaries, George Flint Secretary and Ariel Lovera Treasurer.

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of the Minutes of the November 8, 2018 Meeting**

On MOTION by Mr. Harding seconded by Mr. Goldstein with all in favor the minutes of the November 8, 2018 meeting were approved, as presented.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion of Heritage Crossing Community Services Agreement (MSA)**

Mr. Flint: We are making progress. After the last meeting we had another conference call with Mark, Andrew, Daniel Baker and myself. Tax counsel had concerns about how the prior version was drafted based on the new tax opinions and other obligations that we have. We made another pass at trying to draft it in anticipation that it would be acceptable to tax counsel we won't know that until we submit it to them. They are not providing clear guidelines they are telling us in general and we basically have to submit it and then have them okay it. The draft I provided you is consistent with what we talked about, however, Daniel Baker has not had a chance to provide specific comments back to this draft so keep that in mind. Because we haven't gotten his comments back we haven't submitted it to tax counsel. We want to make sure we get feedback from Daniel before we do that. The basic changes are in section 4 dealing with compensation and previously we referenced some percentages and rather than referencing percentages we have tried to reference specific dollar amounts. In section 4, it says the management company, which is the Resort, shall be paid an amount per year as reflected in Table 1 below, which is classified as base compensation. What I have done in Table 1 is based on our actual experience with Heritage Crossing with the stables and the existing use we have taken what we believe are the base operating costs, which include the utilities, janitorial,

landscape maintenance, the fire suppression inspections and for purposes of this table we have taken 75% of our base operating costs in year one, which would be paid an estimated 50% in year two and 25% in year three so rather than doing percentages we are calculating a number that would be paid to the Resort on an annual basis and that number would go down over time and the thought is in addition to the base compensation you also have an event compensation amount and that event compensation is based on each event we would pay LRA an event compensation fee. As the utilization of the facility goes up our management agreement is going to go down because their revenue is going to be generated on events. The whole purpose of this is the District has control and management of the facilities, we are just contracting with LRA to operate our facilities. The CDD would establish a facility rental fee through our ratemaking process and the Resort would be required to collect that facility rental fee and we would basically pay the Resort as the event compensation some percentage of our rental fee. I left the percentage open, it is questionable whether we can keep that in there as stated in terms of a percentage or whether we may end up having to have a fixed fee and then as we have indicated here that fee can be adjusted annually based on the mutual agreement of the parties.

Mr. Harding: It says time to time, not necessarily annually.

Mr. Flint: The idea is that the District will be adjusting what the facility rental fees are, this would be based on a percentage of that. As you adjust that number will adjust. If you can't do that we will have a fixed number in there and if you adjust your facility rental fee we may have to revise what the event fee is going to be.

Mr. d'Adesky: It is only because this is a very specific structure, the management contracts and there are a lot of new guidance on these, there is a new factor that came up two weeks ago that apply specifically to management contracts but also because they didn't give us very clear guidance. This basic structure, the basic outline was provided by them but they didn't volunteer to draft it for us. We had to take a first stab at it, first me then George then discussing it with Mark and Daniel to make sure we are all on the same page and along the same lines. We tried to keep it as close as possible to what was originally agreed upon by everybody. Hopefully, this is signed off on by LRA, tax counsel then we are done. If there are minor changes then we will come back and make those revisions.

Mr. Goldstein: I'm assuming the facility fee they haven't brought this back. It is designed to provide adequate revenue to offset the wear and tear and costs of these facilities.

Mr. Flint: Yes, and it is going to be a tiered fee.

Mr. Goldstein: A wedding here of 50 people is going to have a much different impact than a wedding of 500.

Mr. Flint: We can get into that when we actually do the public hearing and establish the fees. Right now it says we are going to go through a ratemaking process to establish those fees. We are not restating what those fees are in this document. They are going to be obligated to collect whatever you put in place. Normally, there is one fee if you are going to rent all four quadrants, you might have another fee if you are going to have half. You usually don't count how many people because that is hard to track.

Mr. d'Adesky: That is usually in line with how much space they are renting.

Mr. Flint: If they rent the whole thing and only have 5 people that is their issue not ours but we would assume if they are renting it, it would have the maximum impact on the facility and then there is also some consideration that would be made for residents because they are paying debt service on this facility and there would be a reduced fee for them. We will go through all that in the ratemaking. It won't be stated in this document it is just tied to this document.

There are also some improvements that we are planning on making to the facilities. I believe we have taken them out of this agreement. They anticipate that we would make reasonable improvements based on the Board's approval of these facilities we just don't want to tie it to the management agreement.

Mr. Harding: Where will all of that be documented?

Mr. Flint: It will either be a side agreement or it would be a discussion we have with the Resort making sure they are comfortable with the improvements we are going to make.

Mr. d'Adesky: In this case there are capital expenditures to get that facility in a usable state no matter what you have to use it for so regardless of whether or not there is use by LRA, regardless of whether or not the District wants to use it themselves, regardless of whether a third party was going to use it or there would be some sort of other use, right now it is configured like a stable and it needs to be reconfigured as some sort of usability by the District. Those capital expenditures would happen regardless of whether or not this MSA was entered into.

Mr. Harding: That would be a CDD responsibility.

Mr. d'Adesky: Yes.

Mr. Flint: The idea is it is not tied to this agreement because we are not making any improvements specific to the Resort using the facility, we are making the improvements so that

the space can be utilized. You have money in the capital reserves to be able to do a lot of those things, but you would have to decide to appropriate the funds for that.

Mr. Scheerer: We did allocate funds for staining wood, painting the stables and we adopted that this year. We have roof replacement in the budget this year for this building as well.

Mr. Goldstein: What is going on with the carpet that is all torn up?

Mr. Scheerer: That is one of the things we are doing is getting pricing on the carpet and I know they had a deposit that we retained.

Mr. Harding: Do we think this may be settled by the next meeting?

Mr. d'Adesky: I don't want to promise but we are going to do our best to push this along as quickly as possible.

Mr. Flint: We are working cooperatively with the Resort, they did have a couple events, I communicated with the Board on where they had the need to use this facility and they paid a voluntary contribution for utilization of this facility on two different days because we haven't done ratemaking yet. Those facility use agreements will be on your agenda next month to ratify.

## **SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

### **Staff Reports**

#### **A. Attorney**

Mr. d'Adesky: I emailed a copy of the special event policy and provided a physical copy to everybody today for your review. As directed by the Board I took Celebration and scaled that down to be a little more appropriate for Reunion. Some of the stuff that was applicable to very large events and that was not applicable to the size events we are talking about. The basic structure is that anybody applying for something would fill out the application at the back and agree to indemnification and if George felt there was a need for insurance we could require that. We could require additional deposits or fees but generally to get us off the liability hook for small events. It leaves discretion to George to approve those events on a regular basis. It does give an option to bring them before the Board if he so chooses but I imagine most of the things are going to be very routine and could be approved on the administrative side without having to call a full Board meeting. Just read it and send me or George any comments and we will have it for consideration at the January meeting.

Mr. Harding: I briefly read through it. When is it required to have this? How many people?

Mr. d'Adesky: I didn't set a minimum, we could put a minimum in there if the Board wants to put in a minimum.

Mr. Harding: Wasn't that spelled out in one of the other ones?

Mr. d'Adesky: It was in some of the other ones. It is almost common sense. If you are having a group there that is organized and have 10 people or a birthday party that is probably a special event. If it is three people walking their dog you are not going to have them submit an application for that. If you want a hard minimum limit that is fine. I think special event is going to be something that is going to be set up there but a preplanned meeting, activity, parade, gathering of groups of persons, animals, vehicles, having a common purpose on District property. Something that is an activity, something you are doing there.

Mr. Harding: Do you recall what the minimums were in other Districts?

Mr. d'Adesky: There were some from Districts that we don't represent. Typically, a minimum would be five but we can set one if you want.

Mr. Flint: The issue is if they want to reserve the use of that pavilion it doesn't matter how many people they have to be able to reserve they are going to have to go through this process.

Mr. Goldstein: A lot of families will do a family birthday party with eight to ten people. I don't think we can charge them to do that.

Mr. Dryburgh: You can't have exceptions, if you are going to have a party you have to follow this policy.

Mr. d'Adesky: If you are making a rule and setting a policy there need to be clear boundaries and clear exceptions.

Mr. Flint: One thing you may choose to do is set a minimum with the understanding that if you don't go through this process it is first come first served and if that facility is already reserved and you are hoping to have your family birthday party there and you show up and it is already taken the only way you can guarantee that it is going to be available would be to go through this process.

Mr. Dryburgh: Aren't these deposits, they are not charges?

Mr. Flint: It is structured as deposits, you can't charge for use.

Mr. Dryburgh: It is a deposit in case there is any damages. If there is no damage, do I get the money back? Absolutely. If someone has a problem with that, then definitely don't have that party there.

Mr. Flint: Most people don't have a problem with that concept and if you are a resident or non-resident fee payer they are using it for free.

Mr. Harding: There was an incident where a resident was constantly holding parties and they were selling access to the pool but a lot of people having parties there say they are a resident, it's my party, then leaving and parties get out of control.

Mr. Flint: Part of the requirement is whoever the resident is that is sponsoring the event would have to be at the event.

Mr. Goldstein: If John shows up and the resident isn't there he can shut them down.

Mr. d'Adesky: Yes.

Mr. Flint: In another community we provide a letter and they have to have that letter with them when John comes and they have to show that they have reserved it.

Mr. Goldstein: John, are you staffed to be able to do that once an hour?

Mr. Cruz: Yes, we will make sure they have the appropriate permission to be there.

Mr. Harding: Most of the people who would be impacted by this especially at the Terraces, are the people living there.

Mr. Goldstein: I think John's guys have been doing a good job of patrolling and last weekend there was a huge party of about 50 people at least and at 10:30 p.m. they were still very loud and I called at 10:45 p.m. and asked if they would go over and ask them to quiet down and I got an email from John and apparently his people asked them to be quiet, they did quiet down some but he came over and parked by my house to see how the sound is across the golf course and he went back and told them they had to go inside because they weren't all cooperating. They followed-up, they didn't go just one time and let the problem go. I'm sure if he says he is going to check every hour at the pool he will do it.

Mr. Harding: John, between now and the next meeting why don't you provide some input to this policy as well?

Mr. Cruz: I will do that.

Mr. d'Adesky: The next item I want to bring up is the methodology report that I distributed to everybody that is purely for informational purposes at this point. You have the Third Supplemental Special Assessment Allocation Report for Reunion East CDD Unexchanged Special Assessment Bonds Series 2002A and 2005, dated November 7, 2018. This is part of the long saga of the unexchanged bonds and the unallocated bonds as well as the portion of the O&M debt. The Trustee sent some comments to our last methodology, we evaluated those, we



had the Engineer recertify certain information. We did incorporate some comments of the Trustee into this revised methodology, not all of them. There were certain things that were rejected and we distributed a copy of the methodology to the Trustee's Counsel, Brian Crumbaker, who is on the phone as well as to counsel for LRA, Mark Straley and Vivek Babbar and Vivek is on the phone as well. I anticipate that one and or both of them may be at the January meeting and we may or may not have action on this at the January meeting; we have to evaluate any responses, comments we may get from the respective counsels and determine the appropriate action in January. At this point we are not asking for any action at this meeting but just provided it for your information.

Mr. Babbar: We will provide any comments for the January meeting and we will be in touch. The Board may have already received our previous letter and we look forward to chatting with you in the near future.

Mr. Crumbaker: I appreciate the efforts of staff on this matter and look forward to the meeting in January.

## **B. Engineer**

Mr. Boyd: An update on Reunion Boulevard, I was told that the County did a final on it last week, although, I haven't received any documentation. I'm still looking for actual documentation from the County. I understand your concern about the placement of the Reunion Boulevard sign on the mast arm as you drive eastbound on 532. I have a call into TCD to ask them if it is possible to move that over and he hasn't responded yet. I'm still following up on the sign placement and the final close-out by the County and once we get those two issues resolved we will be in a position to release the final retainage.

Mr. Harding: Cutting back the trees help but it is still invisible until you get right on top of it. It seems that it could be moved over.

Mr. Boyd: Looking at other signals that has become the standard placement for some reason. I will find out if there is an engineering reason it has to be there but if not I will make it happen if I can.

Mr. Scheerer: If it needs to stay there we did speak with the tree care guys and they said they would have to cut a handful of those trees straight out from the curb to provide visual access because they have all been lifted plus pruned, along 532 they did a great job and that helped

somewhat but if they can't move it for an engineering reason we will discuss if the Board is okay with us just sheering them straight out.

Mr. Boyd: I will work on the resolution of the sign placement and once I get the indication from the County with the Board's permission and Alan and George's cooperation, I will release final retainage.

Mr. Flint: We also did talk at the last meeting the contractor had asked for a change order for \$1,800 and the Board balked at that. We went back to the contractor, the contractor did point out that there were a lot of expenses they absorbed that they didn't pass on and the result of that change order, it was on the plans apparently, but it was not on your schedule in the bid documents.

Mr. Boyd: It was on the plans it wasn't on the table in the documents, right.

*Mr. Greenstein joined the meeting in person at this time.*

Mr. Flint: The contractor responded back and laid out all the things that they had absorbed that they didn't charge for and they had some valid points. They indicated that as a compromise because the sod work was not in their contract if we would do the sod work they would absorb those extra costs. I think Steve had asked Alan to get quotes.

Mr. Boyd: It was a minor amount of sod work adjacent to the new sidewalk.

Mr. Flint: It sounds like we are better off doing the sod work and having them absorb the things the Board didn't want to pay. It sounds like it is to our benefit to agree to do the sod.

Mr. Harding: Sounds like a good thing.

Mr. Greenstein: I had requested signage in advance of the intersection that basically says, Reunion Boulevard next intersection, which is what is seen throughout most of the County in significant intersections.

Mr. Boyd: I apologize I need to follow-up on the task.

Mr. Harding: Let's add that to the action items list.

## **C. Manager**

### **i. Action Items List**

Mr. Flint: We have nothing new on the irrigation turnover. The 532 costs we did get a letter from the owner of Publix saying they don't have any interest in sharing in any of the landscape maintenance costs. I got a phone call from the apartment complex owners from Texas, they left a voicemail and I called them back twice and they haven't returned my call.

Mr. Harding: I think at the last meeting we decided that we would put it on hold and see what happens as far as future developments on the Publix side. Leave it on the list as a hold item and maybe even note that we are waiting to see what happens with future developments on the Publix side.

Mr. Greenstein: They are clearing land adjacent to Publix.

Mr. Flint: That may be the Publix owner, but we can look at that. We talked about the MSA. The transponder system, Alan do you know where we are with the transponders?

Mr. Scheerer: I understand the POA, the Master Association, approved the proposal from ACT to install the transponder system.

Mr. Harding: It is scheduled for the middle of January. John has gone out to all the communities asking for appropriate the information.

Mr. Flint: The speed limit signs, Alan?

Mr. Scheerer: We talked about that briefly. We handed out to the Board a map showing the current location of all the solar powered speed limit signs. We had to order additional speed limit signs to go in underneath these signs and they have all been installed. I provided you two spreadsheets for each location, one average speed the other average vehicle count for each sign. We would ask that you disregard the 85-percentile speed, there was a glitch in the software. We did get with Traffic Logic and updated the software. I do download everything every Monday morning when we download the information from the signs and also erases it from the sign so I didn't want to go in now and download it I would like to wait until the normal day that we have chosen to take the information out of the sign and see if that clears that up and it falls more in line with the actual averages. It also indicates the high speed for that particular timeframe and the high vehicle count for that particular timeframe. The 11/21 Grand Traverse average was 24 mph with 5,408 vehicles going past that sign. The Grand Traverse/Twin Eagles loop looks like the average was 21 mph and 6,675 vehicles. The I-4 and Tradition average 32 mph with 31,051 vehicles passing that sign. The Spine Road and Tradition Boulevard, which is basically coming from Spine to the I-4 bridge the average speed is 19 mph with the vehicle count of 44,841 for that three-week window. Excitement Drive 21 mph and 4,922 vehicles for that particular timeframe and I know we are looking to relocate the Excitement Drive to a different location that provides more of a straightaway.

Mr. Harding: A statistic that may also be appropriate is out of the number of vehicles that went down that particular street, how many were over the speed limit.

Mr. Scheerer: I think we can pull that data. Tell me what you want.

Mr. Harding: The number of cars and how many were over the speed limit.

Mr. Scheerer: There is a percentage-based chart in there that will give you the percentage that was over, there is also an actual vehicle count that tells you exactly how many vehicles went past that sign on that given day between the dates that are listed at the top of the spreadsheet.

Mr. Harding: I think I would also like to have John come up with some recommendations from the security standpoint, from a patrol standpoint as to where we might consider putting additional signs.

Mr. Scheerer: One of the areas that was discussed and the Board only approved the five signs, was coming in from Sinclair Road gate along Tradition Boulevard heading towards the I-4 approach. There is nothing between that stretch.

Mr. Harding: What is the approximate cost per sign?

Mr. Scheerer: The signs were \$2,967.81 each and we had to add the Bluetooth data software for an additional \$414.15, about \$3,500.

Mr. Harding: Is that in the budget?

Mr. Flint: We took it out of renewal and replacement and it was split between East and West.

Mr. Scheerer: I talked to Jimmy Willis at OUC, the person who handles the repairs and the crews for the light repairs for OUC and he gave me the okay to mount them temporarily to streetlights so if we need to move one it is just a matter of disassembling it and we can clamp it onto a streetlight, take a picture and send it to Jimmy and let him know where we put it.

On MOTION by Mr. Harding seconded by Mr. Dryburgh with all in favor staff was authorized to add an additional speed limit sign coming in from the Sinclair Road gate along Tradition Boulevard heading toward the I-4 approach.

Mr. Greenstein: I don't know if this would cause a problem for the Seven Eagles people or whatever but is there a way to put up lighting that illuminates that area? Can it be focused on the crosswalk intersection? It is hidden by trees and the street lamp does not illuminate the area. The lighting we need around Nicklaus has to be better than normal streetlighting. We should look at regular illumination in that area.

Mr. Scheerer: The Board has systematically approved the arbor care program. Two years ago we did from Reunion Boulevard to the traffic circle, the traffic circle to the I-4 bridge.

The following year we did some of the interior stuff, Grand Traverse. We can definitely look at those trees, we have Enviro Tree onsite throughout the month of December and if any of those trees are obstructing the lights we can do that. I will get with OUC and see what we can do about starting the process of converting these to LED lights. I know this is a streetlight lease and I don't know when the lease is up because usually when it is up they want you to redo the lease and we can say sure, as long as you convert them to LED. The other option is they have all kinds of pedestrian crosswalk devices that light up if someone is there.

Mr. Dryburgh: What is going on at the pool at the Terraces?

Mr. Scheerer: We have converted to gas. About a week ago TECO came out and tied in the new meter, I contacted Spies Pools they sent they guys out. The plan was to convert the spa here and one pool heater to natural gas. In order to burn off the remaining 300 gallons of propane we were going to leave one of the pool heaters on propane. Spies came out, hooked everything up and metered. TECO came out a couple days later as of a couple days ago we do have the spa on natural gas, one of the pool heaters on natural gas and as soon as we burn off the remainder of the propane so the owner of the tank can pull the tank out. We anticipate by the weekend all the gas will be out of the tank. As soon as that happens we will convert that heater over to natural gas. We will leave it on as we do all the other CDD pools.

Mr. Dryburgh: I have had a number of complaints from the residents at various pools that the temperature is cool to cold. Either the thermometer is not right or we need to start looking at 87 or 90 degrees.

Mr. Scheerer: We keep them at 84 or 85 degrees.

Mr. Flint: They have to understand there is an expense associated with that.

Mr. Scheerer: The kiddie wading pools are not heated. I get a couple calls a year on that.

Mr. Harding: One other action item you have going on is the restoration of a lot of the signage throughout Reunion, repainting some of the signs.

Mr. Scheerer: We received two quotes, one was outrageous and I received a verbal quote of \$125 per post and we are waiting on another quote so we can bring that back to the Board. We are going to sand and clean all the posts and repaint them, the black and gold finial on the top.

Mr. Harding: Let's note that as an action item too. The Dolling's who own a significant amount of property in here are still interested in trying to get us to stock our ponds with fish. They sent me another note with all these statistics.

Mr. Flint: What is their goal in doing that?

Mr. Harding: They just think it is better for the whole community and better for the ponds, etc. I told them they could come to the next meeting and they are going to try to come to our January meeting and make a presentation to the Board.

Mr. Flint: A lot of times you will stock carp if you have algae issues or hydrilla or something like that. We don't have those problems, so you wouldn't stock carp. You can stock bass and bluegill and sunfish if you have mosquito or midge issues and we don't really have that. You don't allow fishing.

Mr. Scheerer: We only have two ponds, one on the East and one on the West.

Mr. Harding: They are talking about the golf course ponds too. In fact we talked about it in the ABOG meeting yesterday. There was some slight interest there too.

**ii. Approval of Check Register**

Mr. Flint presented the November check register in the amount of \$420,353.89.

On MOTION by Mr. Greenstein seconded by Mr. Harding with all in favor the November check register was approved.

**iii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement**

A copy of the balance sheet and income statement were included in the agenda package.

**iv. Status of Direct Bill Assessments**

A copy of the status of direct bill assessments was included in the agenda package.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There being none, the next item followed.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Supervisor's Requests**

There being none, the next item followed.

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Next Meeting Date**

Mr. Flint: The next Board meeting is January 10, 2019.

On MOTION by Mr. Harding seconded by Mr. Greenstein with all in favor the meeting adjourned at 2:11 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman/Vice Chairman